



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/585,011

06/29/2006

Will A. Egner

CER-001

4100

25962 7590 08/04/2010  
SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P.  
17950 PRESTON RD, SUITE 1000  
DALLAS, TX 75252-5793

EXAMINER

BAIRD, EDWARD J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3695

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/04/2010

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@slater-matsil.com  
matsil@slater-matsil.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/585,011	<b>Applicant(s)</b> EGNER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ed Baird	<b>Art Unit</b> 3695	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ed Baird, Examiner.

(2) Brian Carlson, Attorney (Reg. No. 37,793).

**Date of Interview:** 28 July 2010

**Status of Application:** RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 1:45 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner requested Applicant file an abstract to replace the current abstract which is a copy of the PCT application cover sheet.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)